Site code¹ OTR740

| Location | Newling |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Landform | Hills |
| Geology | Palaeogene Pebble Point Formation |
| Element | Mid slope |
| Slope | 37% |
| Aspect | North-easterly |
| | |

Fire scared landscape near Newling

| Horizon | Depth (cm) | Description |
|---------|------------|--|
| A11 | 0–60 | Brown (7–5YR4/4); loamy sand; apedal single grain structure; diffuse smooth boundary to: |
| A12 | 60–110 | Strong brown (7.5YR5/6); loamy sand; apedal single grain structure; gradual smooth boundary to: |
| B2 | 110–180 | Yellowish red (5YR5/8); loamy sand; apedal single grain structure; occasional ironstone and quartz gravel fragments;gradual irregular boundary to: |
| С | 180 | Strong brown (7.5YR5/6) with some red (2.5YR4/8) mottles; sand; apedal stucture. |



Fragic, subnatric, Aeric Podosol/Tenosol

¹ Source: Pitt AJ (1981) A study of the land in the catchments of the Otway Range and adjacent plains. TC-14. Soil Conservation Authority. Kew, Victoria

| I mary ficar data | Anal | lytical | data ² |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------------------|
|-------------------|------|---------|-------------------|

| Site OTR740 | Sample depth | р | Η | EC | NaCl | Ex Ca | Ex Mg | Ex K | Ex Na | Ex Al | Ex Acidity | FC –10kPa | PWP –1500kPa | KS | FS | Ζ | С |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Horizon | cm | H ₂ O | CaCl ₂ | dS/m | % | cmolc/kg | cmolc/kg | cmolc/kg | cmolc/kg | mg/kg | cmol _c /kg | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| A11 | 0-10 | 5.7 | N/R | 0.035 | 0.004 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R |
| A11 | 10-20 | 6.1 | N/R | 0.023 | 0.002 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.04 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | 51 | 38 | 4 | 8 |
| A11 | 20-30 | 6.1 | N/R | 0.020 | 0.002 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R |
| A12 | 60-90 | 5.9 | N/R | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.05 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | 56 | 32 | 4 | 8 |
| B2 | 120-150 | 5.7 | N/R | 0.015 | 0.001 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.1 | 0.03 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | 74 | 19 | 2 | 7 |
| С | 180-210 | 5.8 | N/R | 0.012 | 0.001 | < 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.01 | N/R | N/R | N/R | N/R | 87 | 9 | 1 | 4 |

Management considerations

Deep sandy soils generally have poor plant water/nutrient holding capacities and can be extremely vulnerable to wind, and sheet and rill erosion. These soils may be hydrophobic (in conjunction with organic coatings) when dried out, taking time to reabsorb moisture. They do however drain rapidly. Ferruginous and Ferromanganiferous nodules (ironstone), concretions and pans can restrict root penetration and limit available water holding capacity where there are sufficient amounts, often forming a discontinuous or continuous pan where concentrated (>50%). They are also an indication of a periodic waterlogging.

² Source: Government of Victoria State Chemistry Laboratory.